

Area Planning Sub Committee

8 May 2014

Planning Committee

15 May 2014

Appeals Performance and Decision Summaries

Summary

This report (presented to both Planning Committee and the Sub Committee) informs Members of the Council's performance in relation to appeals determined by the Planning Inspectorate from 1 January to 31 March 2014, and provides a summary of the salient points from appeals determined in that period. A list of outstanding appeals to date of writing is also included.

Background

- Appeal statistics are collated by the Planning Inspectorate on a quarterly basis. Whilst the percentage of appeals allowed against the Council's decision is no longer a National Performance Indicator, it has in the past been used to abate the amount of Housing and Planning Delivery Grant (HPDG) received by an Authority performing badly against the average appeals performance. The Government announced last year that it will use appeals performance in identifying poor performing planning authorities, with a view to the introduction of special measures and direct intervention in planning matters within the worst performing authorities. This is now in place for Planning Authorities where more than 70% of appeals against refusal of permission for major applications are allowed.
- For a number of recent years, until the publication of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) in March 2012, appeal performance in York was close to (and usually better than) the national average. Following the publication of the NPPF our appeal performance declined.
- The table below includes all types of appeals such as those against refusal of planning permission, against conditions of approval, enforcement notices, listed building applications and lawful development certificates. Figure 1 shows performance on appeals decided by the Inspectorate, for the last quarter 1 January to 31 March 2014, and for the 12 months 1 April 2013 to 31 March 2014.

Fig 1: CYC Planning Appeals Performance

	01/01/14 to 31/03/14 (Last Quarter)	01/04/13 to 31/03/14 (Last 12 months)
Allowed	5	9
Part Allowed	0	2
Dismissed	7	22
Total Decided	12	33
% Allowed	42%	27%
% Part Allowed	0%	6%

Analysis

- The table shows that between 1 January and 31 March 2014, a total of 12 appeals relating to CYC decisions were determined by the Inspectorate. Of those, 5 were allowed. At 42% the rate of appeals allowed is above the national annual average of around 33% and higher than our previous quarter figure of 18%. By comparison, for the same period last year, 6 out of 15 appeals were allowed, i.e.40%.
- For the 12 months between 1 April 2013 and 31 March 2014, 27% of appeals decided were allowed, lower than the previous corresponding 12 month period of 42%.
- The summaries of appeals determined between 1 January and 31 March 2014 are included at Annex A. Details as to whether the application was dealt with under delegated powers or by committee (and in those cases, the original officer recommendation) are included with each summary. In the period covered, three of the appeals determined related to applications refused by committee.

Fig 2: Appeals Decided 1 January to 31 March 2014 following Refusal by Committee

Ref No	Site	Proposal	Outcome	Officer Recom.
12/03690/FUL	Chowdene, Malton Rd, Huntington	Pitches for 20 touring caravans and toilet block	Dismissed	Refuse
13/00455/FUL	15 Moor Lane, Haxby	Bungalow to side	Dismissed	Approve
13/00474/FUL	14 York Road, Strensall	Dormer bungalow to rear	Allowed	Approve

- The list of current appeals is attached at Annex B. There are 14 planning appeals lodged with the Planning Inspectorate. Also in the table is the Public Inquiry for the application for 102 houses at Land to the North of Brecks Lane, Strensall which has been called-in for determination by the Secretary of State.
- The quarter performance at 42% allowed is higher than for recent quarters. The current 12 month performance at 27% allowed is a significant improvement on the figure for April 2012 March 2013 (42%), and is a continuation of the trend back towards the national 'benchmark' figure of 33% allowed. The initial impact of the publication of the NPPF (March 2012) on appeal outcomes (which saw many cases allowed) appears to have receded, with the trend in CYC performance continuing to improve as the use and interpretation of policy and guidance within the NPPF (by both the Council and the Planning Inspectorate) has become more consistent.
- 9 The main measures successfully employed to regain the previous performance levels have been as follows:
 - i) Officers have continued to impose high standards of design and visual treatment in the assessment of applications provided it is consistent with Paragraph 56 of the NPPF and Development Control Local Plan Policy.
 - ii) Where significant planning issues are identified early with applications, revisions are sought to ensure that they can be recommended for approval, even where some applications then take more than the 8 weeks target timescale to determine. This approach is reflected in the reduction in the number appeals overall. This approach has improved customer satisfaction and speeded up the development process, and, CYC planning application performance still remains above the national performance indicators for Major, Minor and Other application categories.
 - iii). Additional scrutiny is being afforded to appeal evidence to ensure arguments are well documented, researched and argued.

Consultation

This is essentially an information report for Members and therefore no consultation has taken place regarding its content.

Council Plan

The report is most relevant to the "Building Stronger Communities" and "Protecting the Environment" strands of the Council Plan.

Implications

- 14 Financial There are no financial implications directly arising from the report.
- Human Resources There are no Human Resources implications directly involved within this report and the recommendations within it other than the need to allocate officer time towards the provision of the information.
- 16 Legal There are no known legal implications associated with this report or the recommendations within it.
- 17 There are no known Equalities, Property, Crime & Disorder or other implications associated with the recommendations within this report.

Risk Management

In compliance with the Council's risk management strategy, there are no known risks associated with the recommendations of this report.

Recommendation

That Members note the content of this report.

Reason

To inform Members of the current position in relation to planning appeals against the Council's decisions as determined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Contact Details

01904 551320

Author: Chief Officer Responsible for the

report:

Gareth Arnold Mike Slater

Development Manager, Assistant Director Planning &

Directorate of City and Sustainability, Directorate of City and

Environmental Services Environmental Services

Report Date 25 April 2014

Specialist Implications Officer(s) None.

Wards Affected: All Y

Annexes

Annex A – Summaries of Appeals Determined between 1 January 2014 and 31 March 2014

Annex B - Outstanding Appeals at 25 April 2014